

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services – Panchayat Raj & Rural Development Department – Allegations of irregularities in execution of two (2) road works in Khammam District - Disciplinary proceedings initiated against Sri S. Ramakota Reddy, the then Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Khammam and now i/c Superintending Engineer, Panchayat Raj, Mahaboobnagar and Seven (7) others - Further action dropped – Orders – Issued

PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.IA) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 65.

Dated:09.01.2014

Read the following:-

1. General Administration (V&E) Dept., Vigilance Report No. 116 (1503/V&E/E2/2007-6), dt. 23.09.2010).
2. G.O.Rt.No.568 to 575, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 09.04.2012.
3. Written Statement of Defence of Sri S. Ramakota Reddy, SE, PR Circle, Mahabubnagar, dt. 28.05.2012.
4. Written Statement of Defence of Sri K. Ravinder, SE, NCRMP, Revenue (DM) Dept., A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad, dt. 08.05.2012.
5. Written Statement of Defence of Sri N. Laxmipathi Rao, EE, RWS&S Division, Kovvuru of West Godavari District, dt.31.05.2012.
6. Written Statement of Defence of Sri A. Vijay Kumar, EE, PR Division, Peddapalli, Karimnagar District, dt. 14.05.2012.
7. Written Statement of Defence of Sri M. Rajkishan Reddy, Dy.EE, PRI SD, Nirmal, Adilabad District, dt. 28.05.2012.
8. Written Statement of Defence of Sri A. Krishna Rao, AEE, PRI Division, Khammam, Khammam District, dt.10.05.2012.
9. Written Statement of Defence of M. Ananda Raju, DEE, PRI SD, Palacole, West Godavari District, dt.18.05.2012.
10. Written Statement of Defence of Sri V. Rajeswara Rao, AEE, PIU SD, Madhira, Khammam District, dt.15.05.2012.
11. G.O.Rt.No.2219, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 11.12.2012.
12. G.O.Rt.No.2220, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 11.12.2012.
13. From the Commissioner of Inquiries, GAD D.O.Lr.No.396/COI-LP/2012-1, dt. 12.03.2013.
14. G.O.Rt.No.1187, PR&RD (Vig.IA) Dept., dt. 17.07.2013.
15. From the Commissioner of Inquiries, GAD D.O.Lr.No.256/COI-LP/A1/2013-1, dt. 15.10.2013.

ORDER:

Based on the recommendations of G.A. (V&E) Dept., charges were framed, in the references 2nd read above, against the following eight (8) engineering personnel, under Rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (CC&A) Rules, 1991, on the allegations of irregularities in execution of "Providing B.T. to road from Chowtapalli to Jupeda via Gaigollapalli of Kusumanchi (M)" of Panchayat Raj Division, Khammam District.

S/Sri

- (1) Sri S. Ramakota Reddy, the then EE, PR, Khammam and now I/c SE, PR, Mahaboobnagar
- (2) Sri K.Ravinder, the then EE, QC, Warangal and now I/c SE PR and working on deputation with Revenue (Disaster Management), AP Secretariat, Hyderabad
- (3) Sri N. Lakshmipati Rao, the then Dy.EE., PR, Tirumalaipalem, and now I/c. EE RWS, Kovvur of West Godavari District
- (4) Sri A. Vijay Kumar, the then Dy.EE, QC, Khammam and now EE, PR, Peddapalli
- (5) Sri M. Rajkishan Reddy, the then Dy.EE, PR Sub division, Tirumalaipalem (FAC) and now Dy.EE, Nirmal
- (6) Sri A. Krishna Rao, AEE, PRI Division, Khammam
- (7) Sri M. Ananda Raju, the then Dy.EE, Tirumalaipalem and now Dy.EE, PRI Palakollu, Narsapur Division and
- (8) Sri V. Rajeshwar Rao, AEE, PR Tirumalaipalem and now AEE PIU SD, Madhira of Khammam District

(PTO)

::2::

2. In response to the above charges, all the eight (8) charged officers have submitted their written statements of defences, vide references 3rd to 10th read above.

3. After examining the written statements of defence submitted by all the eight (8) charged officers, the case was entrusted to Commissioner of Inquiries, GAD, for conducting detailed inquiry against them, vide reference 12th read above.

4. In the reference 13th read above, the Inquiry Officer of Commissioner of Inquiries has submitted his enquiry report holding that the charges framed against all the eight (8) charged officers are not proved.

5. After examining the matter, in the reference 14th read above, while not accepting the observations and findings of the Inquiring Authority (COI), Government have remitted back the case to the Inquiring Authority (COI).

6. In the reference 15th read above, the Inquiry Officer of COI has submitted his further enquiry report, stating that charges against all the eight (8) charged officers are not proved.

7. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have decided to drop further action and accordingly hereby drop further action against all the eight (8) charged officers mentioned in para 1 above, on the allegations of irregularities in execution of "Providing B.T. to road from Chowtapalli to Jupeda via Gaigollapalli of Kusumanchi (M)" of Panchayat Raj Division, Khammam District.

8. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad, shall take further action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

V.NAGI REDDY
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Individuals through the Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad.

The Engineer-in-Chief, RWS&S, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The G.A.(V&E) Department.

(Vigilance Report No. 116 (1503/V&E/E2/2007-6, dt. 23.09.2010)

The Secretary to VC, APVC, Hyderabad.

P.S. to M (PR&RWS)/P.S.to Prl.Secy (PR)/ P.S.to Secy(RWS)

SF/SC

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER